Exhibit B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

RUTH SMITH, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 1:22-cv-00081-LMB-WEF

Plaintiff,

v.

SUNPATH, LTD., a Massachusetts corporation,

Defendant.

DECLARATION OF PATRICK H. PELUSO

- I, Patrick H. Peluso, declare as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm Woodrow & Peluso, LLC and counsel of record for Plaintiff Ruth Smith ("Plaintiff" or "Smith").
- 2. On January 26, 2022, Plaintiff filed the instant action alleging wide-scale violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* ("TCPA" or "Act") and the Virginia Telephone Privacy Protection Act ("VTPPA").
- 3. On November 9, 2022, American Protection disclosed at its deposition that it utilized Five9's dialing software to place calls during the relevant time period.
- 4. On November 9, 2022, Plaintiff issued a Subpoena to Produce Documents,
 Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action directed to Five9,
 Inc. Among other things, the subpoena sought the call records, which would reflect the calls
 placed to Plaintiff and the class, and documents reflecting the functionality of the dialing system.
- 5. On November 30, 2022, at 6:17 p.m. MT, Five9 produced the call records reflecting all of the calls placed to Plaintiff and the proposed class. The records reveal millions of

calls placed by SunPath's third party marketer, American Protection.

6. Roughly two hours later, Plaintiff produced the Five9 call records to SunPath.

7. Plaintiff's counsel discussed the Five9 call records with SunPath's counsel shortly

after their production, including discussing their applicability to class certification as well as the

calls at issue to Plaintiff.

8. On December 2, 2022, Plaintiff Smith testified during a full day deposition taken

by SunPath.

9. The Five9 subpoena was the only subpoena in this action directed to a telephone

service provider that sought call records.

10. Plaintiff also issued subpoenas to AT&T Wireless, LLC, Peerless Network, Inc.,

Lumen Technologies, Inc., and Verizon Communications, Inc. All of these subpoenas sought to

identify the end user of certain telephone numbers after American Protection falsely claimed that

it didn't place the calls at issue.

11. Five provided the custodial declaration to attempt to avoid the burden of having

to appear as a witness in this trial solely to authenticate the call records.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the

foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 25, 2023, in Denver, Colorado.

By: /s/ Patrick H. Peluso

Patrick H. Peluso (admitted pro hac vice)

ppeluso@woodrowpeluso.com

WOODROW & PELUSO, LLC 3900 East Mexico Avenue, Suite 300

Denver, Colorado 80210

Telephone: (720) 213-0676

Facsimile: (303) 927-0809